

The Sussmann Trial

"OPPOSITION RESEARCH"

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2022

PERKINS COIE

(May 16, 2022) — Today, the [criminal trial](#) of former Perkins Coie lawyer Michael Sussmann begins with the selection and empaneling of a jury. Sussmann is charged with lying to the FBI back in 2016, claiming that, as a “concerned citizen,” he was presenting “evidence” (also known as “lies”) to a senior attorney at the FBI regarding a purported nefarious “connection” between Donald Trump and a Russian bank.

Recall that lying to the FBI was also the concocted basis for the prosecution of Michael Flynn, National Security Advisor to President Trump. That ordeal was pursued by the “Department of Justice” [*sic*] until the matter was ended by President Trump’s pardoning of Flynn, and participated in by one Merrick Garland as a judge on the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals. Yes, Virginia, *that* [Merrick](#) (“We-don’t-treat-parents-as-domestic-terrorists”) Garland, your Attorney General.

Back to text: Sussmann is alleged to have assured the FBI that in doing so, he was not – repeat, *not* – acting on behalf of any client, including “Hillary for America” (“HFA”) or the Democratic [*sic*] National Committee. Instead, he was acting out of personal, patriotic and altruistic concern for the good of the nation. This from a lawyer at Perkins Coie. Right.

Special Counsel John Durham dug deeper and ultimately found that Sussmann had been billing the HFA 2016 Campaign Committee for work performed and “opposition research” undertaken by Fusion GPS to unearth any “dirt” that could be found – or manufactured – to paint Trump as a “Russian asset” unfit to be President.

The “Russia Collusion” hoax has been exploded and shredded so many times – including by Robert Mueller – that one needs a calculator to keep up with the mass media outlets trying to resuscitate it.

But I digress.

Once the evidence against Sussmann is presented to the jury and – assuming no mistrial or other anomaly surfaces – a verdict is reached, two potentials exist. First, he may be acquitted by a “jury of his peers” from the District of Columbia... where the jury pool consists *overwhelmingly* of Democrats. If acquitted, he walks free and, within nanoseconds, the media sycophants will descend on Durham like a pack of famished hyenas, with Hillary Clinton, the Alpha non-binary out front, fangs bared.

On the other hand, if Sussmann is convicted, there is always the potential for an appeal on whatever grounds the defense team thinks will work or..., why waste time? Like Flynn, just go to the Goofball and get a pardon. Done. But do so soon, before he is removed from office via resignation, impeachment or the 25th Amendment. For that matter, why could not this scenario play out for *any* of the people who are, as yet, not indicted by Durham..., including..., ummmm..., Slick Willie’s spouse?

This hypothetical scenario could explain why Sussmann did not accept any “plea-deal,” assuming (*big* assumption) that one was ever on the table. If there is little to no chance of actual incarceration, monetary punishment or accountability being rendered in D.C. where the defendant is a member of a “favored class” – *i.e.*, registered as a Democrat – what is the downside? And if an acquittal could be secured, the propaganda bonanza leading into the November mid-terms would be huge.

Is this a great country, or what? Bring back the [Maga-King!](#)